

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,620	05/26/2006	Robert John Kopmeiners	Kopmeiners 8-3-4	6172	
47386 RYAN, MASO	7590 04/30/2009 ON & LEWIS, LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1300 POST ROAD			ELPENORD, CANDAL		
SUITE 205 FAIRFIELD, 0	CT 06824	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
, ,		2416			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/562,620	KOPMEINERS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CANDAL ELPENORD	2416		

	CANDAL ELPENORD	2416						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following applied to the following	y was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this on, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi, or other evidence, which places the on in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request inued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MEPE 705.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee equals of the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee equals of the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee equals of the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee set for this corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee set for the fee. The fee extension of the fee. The set for the fee extension of the fee. The fee extension of the feel extension o								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was compared.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 								
appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		- Transition (
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4.7-19 and 22-29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. \(\subseteq The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12.								
/Kwang B. Yao/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416	/Candal Elpenord/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

The proposed amendment wherein the claims 1, 16, 26, 29 incorporate the limitations of claims 5-6 do not place the application in condition for allowance because the Examiner respectfully disagree with the Applicant assertions. The Examiner maintains that the combination when considered as whole teaches the Applicant claimed invention. The Applicant alleged the three-way combination fails to teach "the long training symbols are time orthogonal by introducing a phase shift to each of the long training symbols relative to one another is an inherent feature in OFDM. Consider, a scenario where there are multiple antennas in MOMO communication system where one set of antenna transmits long training symbol using an odd set of OFDM carriers and the other antenna transmits long training symbol using an odd set of OFDM carriers and the other antenna transmits long training symbol using an over set of OFDM carriers to obtain orthogonantly (see, Jones et al., col. 6, lines 25-31 for example) The Examiner asserts that there is a phase shift taking place in the example described above. For argument sake, consider col. 3, lines 55-62. Additionally, Applicant's specification defines the phase shift with respect to making an antenna branch distinguishable from the other branch. The Examiner asserts that theying MIMO antenna system in which one antenna uses even set of carriers to transmit the long training symbol and other uses an odd set of carriers to transmit another long training symbol is the functional equivalent of Applicant claimed features